

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,610	02/19/2004	Vladek Kasperchik	10004809-1	1622
22879	7590 06/29/2005		EXAM	INER
	PACKARD COMPAN	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
	P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLI	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		1774	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)		
		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	10/783,610	KASPERCHIK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Betelhem Shewareged	1774		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT as cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	ebruary 2004.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-35</u> is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		v the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 LLS C & 3	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.5. g	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No		
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				
	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/19/2004. 	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to printed composite, classified in class 428, subclass 32.1.
- II. Claims 15-35, drawn to method of making, classified in class 156, subclass 230+.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, (e.g., reverse printing an image on a transparent printable layer, and applying a metallic layer on the printed image via vapor deposition process).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with W. Bradley Haymond on 04/20/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-14. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claims 15-35 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwasny et al. (US 6,808,583 B2).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

8. A printed medium comprising a printed layer applied onto a plastic base, an adhesive layer applied onto the printed layer, a metal reflective layer applied onto the

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610

Art Unit: 1774

adhesive layer, and a protective layer onto the metal reflective layer (col. 12, line 15). The printed medium is flexible (col. 6, line 35). The plastic base is transparent and is made of material substantially identical to the material used to make the claimed printable layer (col. 6, line 59). The protective layer is made of acrylic material (col. 11, line 62). The material used to form the metal reflective layer is disclosed on col. 12, line 25.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 5, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwasny et al. (US 6,808,583 B2), as applied to claims 1-4, 6-10 and 13, above.

Kwasny fails to disclose the thickness of the metal reflective layer, the thickness of the protective layer and the thickness the printed medium. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust the thickness of the metal reflective layer, the thickness of the protective layer and the thickness the printed medium so as to optimize the bending, flexing and/or folding properties of the printed medium. A prima facie case of obviousness may be rebutted,

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

however, where the results of the optimizing variable, which is known to be resulteffective, are unexpectedly good. *In re Boesch and Slaney*, 205 USPQ 215. To date,
this burden has not been sustained.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.S. June 24, 2005. BETELHEM SHEWAREGED PRIMARY EXAMINER